

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

PIOTR J. GARDIAS,

No. C04-04086 HRL

Plaintiff,

Consolidated With: C04-04768 HRL
C05-01242 HRL
C05-01833 HRL
C06-04695 HRL

V.

SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY,

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS
FOR JUDGMENT, NOS. 1 THROUGH 6**

Defendant.

[Docket Nos. 118, 120, 121, 122, 131, 134]

Plaintiff has filed six “Motions for Judgment” in which he asks this court to enter judgment in his favor based upon a number of documents which he contends support his allegations in this litigation. The motions have been noticed for separate hearings spread over successive weeks in February and March 2007.

Attempting to dispose of this litigation in such piecemeal fashion is inefficient and is not contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The court suggests that plaintiff file a single motion for summary judgment which addresses all issues and all supporting evidence.¹ Moreover, at the status conference held on January 9, 2007, plaintiff stated that he is seeking

¹ The court has set a September 25, 2007 deadline for the filing of dispositive motions, and October 30, 2007 is the last date for a hearing on any such motion.

1 additional discovery from defendant. Therefore, the court concludes that it makes sense for
2 plaintiff to wait until he has all of his discovery before filing his motion for summary judgment.

3 Accordingly, plaintiff's six pending motions for judgment are DENIED WITHOUT
4 PREJUDICE, and the noticed hearing dates are VACATED.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: January 16, 2007

7 
8 HOWARD R. LLOYD
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1 **A copy of this document will be mailed to:**

2 Piotr J. Gardias
3 72 Floyd St.
3 San Jose, CA 95110

4 Pro Se Plaintiff

5 Mary Susan Cain-Simon
6 CA State Attorney General's Office
6 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
7 P. O. Box 70550
7 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

8 Counsel for Defendant

9 Dated: 1/16/07

/s/

10 Chambers of Magistrate Judge Lloyd